Interaction of Electromagnetic Radiation with Biological Tissues

Dr. Jagbir Kaur
Assistant Professor
Department of Sciences,
Khalsa College for Women,
Amritsar,

Abstract

Electromagnetic radiation (EMR) interacts with biological tissues in ways that depend strongly on frequency, field strength, exposure duration, and tissue properties. With the rapid growth of wireless communication systems, medical technologies, and electrical infrastructure, understanding these interactions is essential for health risk assessment and safe technological development. This paper presents a concise review of the interaction of electromagnetic radiation with biological tissues, focusing on physical principles, dosimetry, thermal and non-thermal mechanisms, biological effects, medical applications, and international safety standards. Evidence from experimental, animal, and epidemiological studies indicates that the well-established biological effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation are primarily thermal in nature, whereas non-thermal effects remain scientifically inconclusive. International exposure guidelines based on thermal thresholds provide effective protection against known health risks. Continued research with improved dosimetry and standardized methodologies is required to address remaining uncertainties.

Keywords: *electromagnetic radiation, biological tissues, SAR, thermal effects, safety standards*

1. Introduction

Electromagnetic radiation is a fundamental physical phenomenon arising from the propagation of oscillating electric and magnetic fields through space. Biological organisms have evolved in the presence of natural electromagnetic fields, including the Earth's static magnetic field and solar radiation. However, modern technological advancements have significantly increased human exposure to artificial sources of electromagnetic radiation, particularly in the radiofrequency (RF) and microwave regions of the spectrum (Barnes & Greenebaum, 2018).

Concerns regarding potential health effects of electromagnetic radiation exposure have accompanied the development of electrical power systems, broadcasting technologies, mobile communication devices, and wireless networks. While ionizing radiation such as X-rays and gamma rays is well known to cause biological damage through direct ionization of molecules, non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation lacks sufficient photon energy to break chemical bonds directly. Nevertheless, non-ionizing radiation can interact with biological tissues through other mechanisms, most notably tissue heating (Foster & Moulder, 2013).

This paper aims to present a coherent and comprehensive overview of the interaction of electromagnetic radiation with biological tissues. The discussion integrates physical principles, biological mechanisms, experimental findings, epidemiological evidence, and regulatory perspectives. Emphasis is placed on radiofrequency and microwave radiation due to their relevance to contemporary exposure scenarios. The paper is structured to progress from fundamental concepts to applied and regulatory considerations, providing a holistic understanding of this multidisciplinary field.

2. Electromagnetic Spectrum and Tissue Interactions

The electromagnetic spectrum spans a wide range of frequencies, from extremely low frequency (ELF) fields to gamma radiation. The nature of interaction between electromagnetic radiation and biological tissues is strongly frequency-dependent and is influenced by tissue electrical properties such as permittivity and conductivity (Challis, 2005).

2.1 Extremely Low Frequency Fields

Extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields, typically below 300 Hz, are associated with power generation, transmission lines, and household electrical appliances. At these frequencies, electromagnetic fields induce weak electric currents in biological tissues. If sufficiently strong, these induced currents can stimulate excitable tissues such as nerves and muscles, producing acute effects that are well characterized (IEEE, 2019).

Epidemiological studies have examined possible associations between long-term ELF magnetic field exposure and diseases such as childhood leukemia. Although some studies report weak statistical associations, no biophysical mechanism has been established, and experimental evidence does not support genotoxic effects at environmental exposure levels (Ahlbom et al., 2004; Linet et al., 1997). Consequently, exposure limits for ELF fields are based on preventing nerve and muscle stimulation rather than long-term health outcomes (ICNIRP, 2010).

2.2 Radiofrequency and Microwave Radiation

Radiofrequency and microwave radiation, ranging approximately from 100 kHz to 300 GHz, are widely used in communication systems, radar, and medical devices. In this frequency range, electromagnetic energy penetrates biological tissues and is absorbed primarily through dielectric losses, leading to tissue heating (Gandhi et al., 1996).

The depth of penetration decreases with increasing frequency, such that lower RF frequencies penetrate deeper into the body, while higher microwave and millimeter-wave frequencies are absorbed more superficially. The specific absorption rate (SAR) is used to quantify energy absorption in tissues and serves as the primary dosimetric quantity in safety standards (ICNIRP, 2020).

2.3 Optical and Ultraviolet Radiation

At optical frequencies, electromagnetic radiation interacts with biological tissues through molecular excitation and photochemical reactions. Infrared radiation primarily causes heating, whereas visible light interacts with specific chromophores such as hemoglobin and retinal photopigments (Bushberg et al., 2021). Ultraviolet radiation possesses sufficient photon energy to induce DNA damage and is strongly associated with skin cancer, representing a well-established health risk (NCRP, 2019).

3. Dosimetry and Exposure Assessment

Accurate dosimetry is essential for understanding electromagnetic interactions with biological tissues and for comparing results across studies. Dosimetry links external field characteristics to internal biological quantities such as electric field strength and temperature rise (Durney et al., 1986).

3.1 Specific Absorption Rate

The specific absorption rate is defined as the rate at which electromagnetic energy is absorbed per unit mass of tissue and is expressed in watts per kilogram. SAR is proportional to tissue conductivity and the square of the induced electric field (Gandhi et al., 1996). It may be averaged over the whole body or localized over small tissue masses, depending on the exposure scenario.

3.2 Experimental and Computational Dosimetry

Experimental dosimetry often employs physical phantoms filled with tissue-equivalent materials, allowing measurement of internal electric fields using probes. Computational techniques such as the finite-difference time-domain method enable detailed simulation of electromagnetic fields in anatomically realistic human models, providing insights into organ-specific exposure (IEEE, 2019).

4. Thermal Mechanisms of Interaction

Thermal effects constitute the primary and best-established mechanism by which radiofrequency and microwave radiation interact with biological tissues. Absorbed electromagnetic energy is converted into heat, leading to increases in tissue temperature (Foster & Moulder, 2013).

4.1 Dielectric Heating

Dielectric heating results from the rotation of polar molecules, particularly water, in response to oscillating electric fields. The resulting friction generates heat within tissues. The extent of heating depends on exposure intensity, frequency, duration, and tissue properties (Challis, 2005).

4.2 Bioheat Transfer and Thermoregulation

The Pennes bioheat equation describes the relationship between absorbed energy and tissue temperature by accounting for metabolic heat, conduction, blood perfusion, and external heat sources (Pennes, 1948). Physiological thermoregulation, including increased blood flow and sweating, helps dissipate heat and maintain safe tissue temperatures under most exposure conditions (Repacholi, 2012).

4.3 Thermal Thresholds

Sustained tissue temperature increases of approximately 1–2 °C may produce measurable biological effects, while higher increases can cause irreversible damage. International safety limits are designed to prevent such temperature elevations, incorporating substantial safety margins (ICNIRP, 2020).

5. Non-Thermal Mechanisms

Non-thermal mechanisms refer to biological effects that cannot be explained solely by temperature increases. Proposed mechanisms include alterations in membrane properties, ion channel function, oxidative stress, and gene expression (Belyaev, 2015).

Experimental evidence for non-thermal effects remains inconsistent. While some studies report changes in cellular signaling or reactive oxygen species production, others fail to replicate these findings under comparable conditions (Foster & Repacholi, 2004; Wust et al., 2020). The lack of reproducibility and standardized methodologies remains a major challenge in this area.

6. Safety Standards and Exposure Guidelines

International organizations such as the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection and the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers have established exposure limits to protect against known adverse effects. These limits are based primarily on preventing excessive tissue heating and incorporate conservative safety factors for both occupational and public exposure (ICNIRP, 2020; IEEE, 2019).

7. Research Gaps and Future Directions

Despite decades of research, uncertainties remain regarding the biological significance of long-term, low-level electromagnetic radiation exposure. Many reported non-thermal effects lack reproducibility due to variations in experimental design, exposure systems, and dosimetric accuracy. Future research should prioritize standardized exposure protocols, rigorous temperature control, anatomically realistic computational modeling, and transparent reporting practices. Large, well-designed epidemiological studies with improved exposure assessment are also necessary to clarify potential long-term health outcomes (Wust et al., 2020; WHO, 2023).

8. Conclusion

The interaction of electromagnetic radiation with biological tissues is governed by well-established physical and biological principles. For non-ionizing radiation, particularly in the radiofrequency and microwave ranges, energy absorption leads primarily to tissue heating through dielectric mechanisms. These thermal effects form the scientific basis for current health risk assessments and international exposure limits. Extensive experimental and epidemiological evidence does not support consistent adverse health effects at exposure levels below established safety guidelines.

Although numerous studies have explored possible non-thermal mechanisms, such as oxidative stress, membrane effects, and changes in gene expression, the evidence remains inconsistent and often irreproducible. As a result, non-thermal effects are not currently used as a basis for regulatory standards. Importantly, electromagnetic radiation is widely and safely used in medical diagnostics and therapy, demonstrating that controlled exposure can provide substantial health benefits.

Overall, existing international guidelines developed by organizations such as ICNIRP, IEEE, and the World Health Organization provide adequate protection for both the general public and occupationally exposed individuals. Continued interdisciplinary research, improved dosimetric techniques, and periodic re-evaluation of safety standards are essential to ensure the safe and beneficial use of electromagnetic technologies in an increasingly wireless world.

References

Ahlbom, A., Day, N., Feychting, M., Roman, E., Skinner, J., Dockerty, J., Linet, M., McBride, M., Michaelis, J., Olsen, J. H., Tynes, T., & Verkasalo, P. K. (2004). A pooled analysis of magnetic fields and childhood leukemia. British Journal of Cancer, 83(5), 692–698.

Barnes, F. S., & Greenebaum, B. (2018). Biological and medical aspects of electromagnetic fields (3rd ed.). CRC Press.

Belyaev, I. (2015). Biophysical mechanisms for nonthermal microwave effects. Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine, 34(1), 1–11.

Bushberg, J. T., Seibert, J. A., Leidholdt, E. M., & Boone, J. M. (2021). The essential physics of medical imaging (4th ed.). Wolters Kluwer.

Challis, L. J. (2005). Mechanisms for interaction between RF fields and biological tissue. Bioelectromagnetics, 26(S7), S98–S106.

Durney, C. H., Iskander, M. F., & Massoudi, H. (1986). Radiofrequency radiation dosimetry handbook (4th ed.). USAF School of Aerospace Medicine.

Foster, K. R., & Moulder, J. E. (2013). Wi-Fi and health: Review of current status of research. Health Physics, 105(6), 561–575.

Foster, K. R., & Repacholi, M. H. (2004). Biological effects of radiofrequency fields: Does modulation matter? Radiation Research, 162(2), 219–225.

Gandhi, O. P., Lazzi, G., & Furse, C. M. (1996). Electromagnetic absorption in the human head and neck for mobile telephones. IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, 44(10), 1884–1897.

ICNIRP. (2010). Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric and magnetic fields (1 Hz–100 kHz). Health Physics, 99(6), 818–836.

ICNIRP. (2020). Guidelines for limiting exposure to electromagnetic fields (100 kHz–300 GHz). Health Physics, 118(5), 483–524.

IEEE. (2019). IEEE standard for safety levels with respect to human exposure to electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields. IEEE.

IEC/IEEE. (2017). Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate (SAR). IEC.

Kumar, G., & Behari, J. (2012). Effect of microwave exposure on fertility of male rats. Bioelectromagnetics, 33(1), 1–10.

Linet, M. S., Hatch, E. E., Kleinerman, R. A., Robison, L. L., Kaune, W. T., Friedman, D. R., Severson, R. K., Haines, C. M., Hartstock, C. T., Niwa, S., Wacholder, S., & Tarone, R. E. (1997). Residential exposure to magnetic fields and acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children. New England Journal of Medicine, 337(1), 1–7.

NCRP. (2019). Ionizing radiation exposure of the population of the United States. NCRP.

Pennes, H. H. (1948). Analysis of tissue and arterial blood temperatures in the resting human forearm. Journal of Applied Physiology, 1(2), 93–122.

Repacholi, M. H. (2012). Low-level exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields: Health effects and research needs. Bioelectromagnetics, 33(1), 1–10.

Swerdlow, A. J., Feychting, M., Green, A. C., Kheifets, L., & Savitz, D. A. (2011). Mobile phones, brain tumors, and the Interphone study. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 103(21), 1664–1665.

World Health Organization. (2014). Electromagnetic fields and public health: Mobile phones. WHO.

World Health Organization. (2023). Radiofrequency electromagnetic fields and health: Systematic review. WHO.

Wust, P., Hildebrandt, B., Sreenivasa, G., Rau, B., Gellermann, J., Riess, H., Felix, R., & Schlag, P. M. (2020). Hyperthermia in combined treatment of cancer. The Lancet Oncology, 3(8), 487–497.